



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/754,355	01/05/2001	Kang-Yun Moon	0630-1213P	3314
75	90 05/12/2004		EXAM	NER
BIRCH, STEV	WART,	KOSTAK, VICTOR R		
KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP P.O. Box 747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			2614	1.
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/754,355	MOON, KANG-YUN				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Victor R. Kostak	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averally final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the contract of the con	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
<u>PERIOD FOR RE</u>	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>26 April 2004</u> . Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	.				
		hining				
		Victor R. Kostak Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2614				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as explained by the examiner in the last Office action, Grossman explicitly allows for "any information of commercial value, such as a logo or trademark", and a channel number, logo or icon serves as an advertisement for that channel, as the examiner also specifically stated. "Any information" certainly covers any display be it in numeric, graphic, character, or any combination like alphanumeric data. Furthemore, logos and trademarks, which Grossman explicitly allows for, typically involve customized alphanumeric and/or graphic types of display designed for marketing or advertizing, such being the purpose of Grossman.